


Clerk of the United States District Court
for the District of Nevada


Case No. 3:12-cv-00325-RCT-VRP, MDL No. 2357
400 S. Virginia Street, Reno, Nevada 89501

Ben Barnow
Barnow & Associates, P.C.
205 West Randolph St., Suite 1630
Chicago, IL 60606

Stephen J. Newman
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP
2024 Century Park East, 18th floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067



L. Elias K. Gardner, residing at 405 Culver Hill Road
Middlesex, Vermont 05602, A settlement class Member,
zappos account zarkerz@gmail.com last transaction #
76271478 on Nov, 29, 2009, who does not intend to
appear at the Final Approval Hearing, do object to
the proposed settlement of a 10% discount on zappos.com
My objection is for the primary reasons that to
benefit from this settlement I would need to
have money and decide to spend it at zappos.
Potentially Zappos will regain customers and make
profit off of this settlement, possibly incentivizing
zappos to continue the same behavior intended to
be stopped. It also concerns me that settlement class
Members with more financial resources stand to
benefit more from this proposed settlement than
those with less resources. For these reasons I
urge the parties and the court to come up with a
settlement that does not incentivise leaving customers
at high end risk without disclosure, and does not require
settlement class Members to be patrons of zappos.com to
benefit. Finally why can't this objection be submitted by
email? The paper requirement seems outdated and intended
to limit participation by settlement class Members.

Thank You 

2019-11-03

Elias K Gardner